Exhibit A

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

	Southern Distr	rict of No	ew York	
FLOYD'S OF LEADVILLE,	INC., N/K/A VALUED, INC.,			
P ALEXANDER CAPITAL, LP	Claintiff V.))) C	ivil Action No.	1:22-cv-03318-DEH
ALLAANDER CAI ITAL, LI	, et al.,)		
De	efendant)		
SU	JBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A I	DEPOSI	TION IN A CIV	IL ACTION
To: Alexandra Merle-I	Huet			
	(Name of person to w	hom this s	ubpoena is directed)	
deposition to be taken the party serving this	ARE COMMANDED to appear at in this civil action. If you are an osubpoena about the following matt officers, directors, or managing age matters:	rganizati ers, or th	ion, you must pro lose set forth in a	omptly confer in good faith with an attachment, and you must
means. A Zoom conferenci	take place by internet based remote electing link with the meeting ID and passcoded to the Parties within five days of the se	le	Date and Time: September 4, 2	2024 at 11:00 a.m. EDT
The deposition w	vill be recorded by this method: <u>V</u>	ideograp	oh and Stenograp	h
	or your representatives, must also and electronically stored informat			
Place:]	Date and Time:	
		-		
Rule 45(d), relating to yo	ovisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are a our protection as a person subject to and the potential consequences of	a subpo	ena; and Rule 4:	ating to the place of compliance; 5(e) and (g), relating to your duty to
Date: <u>June 27, 2024</u>	CLERK OF COURT		OR	Billed
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Cle	erk		Attorney's signature
T1		C.1		

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) <u>Alexander Capital, LP</u>, , who issues or requests this subpoena, are: Joseph Amato, Rocco Guidicipietro, and NESA Management, LLC Bryan Ward | Holcomb + Ward, LLP | bryan.ward@holcombward.com | 404.892.5695 | 3455 Peachtree Road | Suite 500 | Atlanta, GA 30326

Civil Action No. 1:22-cv-03318-DEH

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

on (date	•	na for (name of individual and title, if any	e) <u>Alexandra Merle-Huet</u>					
	☑ I served the subpoer	na by delivering a copy to the nam	ed individual as follows:					
	Via email to counsel for Plaintiff, Dan Vedra at dan@vedralaw.com							
		on (date) June 27, 2024 ; or						
	☐ I returned the subpoena unexecuted because:							
	Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of \$							
My fee	s are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00				
	I declare under penalty	of perjury that this information is	true.					
Date:	June 27, 2024		Biller					
Date	Julie 27, 2024	-	Server's signature					
		Bryan Ward Ho	Bryan Ward Holcomb + Ward, LLP					
		-	Printed name and title					
		3455 Peachtree F	oad Suite 500 Atlanta, GA 30326					

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 (c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)

(c) Place of Compliance.

- (1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:
- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- **(B)** within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
- (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
 - **(B)** inspection of premises at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction—which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees—on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

- (A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.
- (B) Objections. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing, or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises—or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:
- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

- (A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:
 - (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
 - (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.
- **(B)** When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or
- (ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.
- (C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:
- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
 - (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

- (1) **Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.** These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:
- (A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.
- **(B)** Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.
- (C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.
- **(D)** Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

- (A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:
 - (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.
- **(B)** Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt.

The court for the district where compliance is required—and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court—may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FLOYD'S OF LEADVILLE, INC., N/K/A VALUED, INC.,	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
riamum,	:	
VS.	:	Case No.: 1:22-cv-03318-DEH
ALEXANDER CAPITAL, L.P., NESA		
MANAGEMENT, LLC, JOSEPH ANTHONY		
AMATO, ROCCO GERARD GUIDICIPIETRO,		
JONATHAN GAZDAK, RONALD BARRIE		

HOLDING, INC., TIMOTHY KELLY, and

Defendants.

CLAPHAM, MARK LEONARD, PROVISION

THREE DDD, LLC,

WAIVER AND ACCEPTANCE OF SERVICE OF PROCESS

I, Daniel J. Vedra, being duly authorized, hereby waive and accept service of process of the Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Civil Action directed to Alexandra Merle-Huet as though the same had been served in accordance with Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. On behalf of Alexandra Merle-Huet, I waive the attendance and mileage fees required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(b)(1).

Dated: 7/10/2024

Daniel J. Vedrá Vedra Law, LLC 1444 Blake Street Denver, CO 80202 dan@vedralaw.com

Office: (303) 937-6540 Direct: (720) 295-9391